



ZoneVouch

Name and domain research: Website accessibility scanner and fixer for small businesses

A real research run from our own rebrand: 74 candidate names across 6 extensions, handles on 8 platforms, trademark strength and registry diligence.

REAL RESEARCH RUN • 8 OCTOBER 2026 • NOT A CUSTOMER RESULT

RECOMMENDATION	uselegible · 85.1/100
GENERATED	2026-10-09 03:57 UTC · 130 s
NAMES SCORED	74
EXTENSIONS	.com, .io, .co, .ai, .app, .net
EXPORTED	13 October 2026

Overview

Recommendation: uselegible · 85.1/100

uselegible scores 85.1/100: .com available; easy to say and spell; not close to any competitor (nearest gummysearch, 0.29). Watch: no searched term in the name

Nothing registered; buying is the founder's decision.

IDEA	Website accessibility scanner and fixer for small businesses, agencies and in-house web teams. It runs a real browser engine (axe-core) against a site, explains every WCAG 2.2 failure in plain language, ranks what to fix first by impact, generates verified code fixes (contrast, alt text, labels, names) and rescans to prove the fix worked, then monitors the site and alerts on regressions. It also writes a accessibility statement and gives agencies white-label reports. Stance: real accessibility, no theater. It is NOT an overlay widget that pretends to fix a site; it fixes the code. Comp
CATEGORY	web accessibility testing and remediation software
CURRENT NAME	cloviable · 49/100 · strategist fit 3/10: Forced vendor mashup, confusing (median of 3: 2, 3, 3)
TRAFFIC AT RISK	no measurable organic traffic: a rename puts little at risk (0 organic keywords, search-traffic data provider (US))
GENERATED	2026-10-09 03:57 UTC · 130 s

Verdict

Pick: uselegible.com


Runners-up:

- curbcutly · 81.2/100
- secureaccessibility · 77.4/100

Names scored: 74 (2 scored 80 or more, 25 between 65 and 79).

What happened next: the company chose a different name (Wideramp) at the brand-kit stage. This report is shown as the engine produced it.

Shortlist scores



Candidates

All 74 names the run scored, best first. Shortlisted names are shaded. Two generated names were dropped as clichés: plainsight, smartscannerapp.

NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
uselegible	given candidate	85.1	available	strategist fit 6/10: Awkward verb prefix, clunky (median of 3: 5, 7, 6)
curbcutly	given candidate	81.2	available	strategist fit 4/10: Suffix weakens a strong metaphor (median of 3: 5, 4, 4)
secureaccessibility	registry suggestion service	77.4	available	strategist fit 4/10: Descriptive but bland, security confusion (median of 3: 4, 4, 5)
gotlegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	76.8	available	strategist fit 2/10: Nonsense fragment, no meaning (median of 3: 2, 2, 2)
ulegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	76.7	available	strategist fit 2/10: Fragment, unclear (median of 3: 2, 2, 2)
sortlegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	75.8	available	strategist fit 2/10: Nonsense fragment (median of 3: 1, 2, 2)
curelegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	75.8	available	strategist fit 2/10: Awkward fragment, unclear meaning (median of 3: 2, 2, 2)
pauselegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	74.9	available	strategist fit 2/10: Nonsense fragment (median of 3: 1, 2, 2)
taxaccessibility	registry suggestion service	74.1	available	strategist fit 2/10: Tax unrelated, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 2, 2)
unelegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	73.7	available	strategist fit 1/10: Negative prefix, confusing (median of 3: 1, 2, 1)
souselegible	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	72.9	available	strategist fit 1/10: Broken compound (median of 3: 1, 2, 1)
uselegiblee	variation of uselegible: registry suggestion service	72.8	available	strategist fit 1/10: Typo-like, nonsense (median of 3: 1, 2, 1)
solaraccessibility	registry suggestion service	72.6	available	strategist fit 2/10: Solar unrelated, confusing (median of 3: 2, 2, 2)

NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
gouselegible	variation of uselegible: prefix go-	71.8	available	strategist fit 1/10: Broken compound, unreadable (median of 3: 1, 2, 1)
myuselegible	variation of uselegible: prefix my-	71.8	available	strategist fit 1/10: Awkward fragment, confusing (median of 3: 1, 2, 1)
justwebsiteapp	registry suggestion service	70.7	available	strategist fit 1/10: SEO keyword spam, not a brand (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
justwebsitetips	registry suggestion service	69.8	available	strategist fit 1/10: Keyword stuffing, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
justwebsitesales	registry suggestion service	69.8	available	strategist fit 1/10: Keyword stuffing, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
websitehostingnow	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Hosting keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 0, 1)
websitehostingsite	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Hosting keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 0, 1)
websitehostingtips	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Hosting keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 0, 1)
websitemarketinghub	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Marketing keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
websitehostingtoday	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Hosting keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 0, 1)
websitemarketingsite	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Marketing keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
virtualwebsitemarketing	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
websitemarketingnetwork	registry suggestion service	68.0	available	strategist fit 1/10: Marketing keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 1, 1)
websitehostinguk	registry suggestion service	67.7	available	strategist fit 1/10: Hosting keyword spam, off-topic (median of 3: 1, 0, 1)

NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
inclusi	our name generator	49.0	taken	strategist fit 5/10: Awkward truncation, unclear pronunciation (median of 3: 4, 5, 5)
accessly	our name generator	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Clear access root, generic SaaS suffix (median of 3: 6, 6, 6)
equiscan	our name generator	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Equity plus scan, apt for scanner (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
ablemint	our name generator	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Mint gimmick muddles accessibility meaning (median of 3: 4, 4, 5)
clearpath	our name generator	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Pleasant but generic, no accessibility signal (median of 3: 6, 6, 7)
lintel	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Architectural doorway metaphor, subtle (median of 3: 6, 6, 6)
noramp	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 3/10: Negative framing, confusing (median of 3: 3, 3, 3)
curbcut	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 9/10: Perfect accessibility metaphor, credible, memorable (median of 3: 9, 8, 9)
legible	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Real word, ties to readability and clarity (median of 3: 7, 8, 7)
waymark	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Navigation metaphor, subtle accessibility link (median of 3: 6, 6, 6)
fixable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Speaks to fixing, not accessibility specifically (median of 3: 6, 8, 6)
inclusa	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 5/10: Invented Latin-ish, feels startup-generic (median of 3: 5, 5, 5)
tactile	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 5/10: Sensory word, narrow to touch (median of 3: 5, 5, 4)
fairweb	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Warm and clear, slightly generic (median of 3: 6, 7, 6)


NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
keyable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Keyboard pun, narrow and ambiguous (median of 3: 5, 6, 6)
operable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 8/10: WCAG principle word, dry but credible (median of 3: 6, 8, 8)
openramp	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 8/10: Ramp metaphor strong, open feels inclusive (median of 3: 7, 8, 8)
wideramp	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Wide ramp evokes broader access, warm (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
rampwise	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Ramp metaphor plus wisdom, slightly clunky (median of 3: 6, 7, 7)
mendable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Fix connotation good, accessibility unclear (median of 3: 6, 7, 7)
passmark	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Compliance pass connotation, clean (median of 3: 7, 6, 6)
allreach	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Reach metaphor fits inclusion, memorable (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
unbarred	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Removing barriers, bold and memorable (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
openable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 5/10: Weak, ambiguous meaning (median of 3: 5, 5, 5)
fairsite	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Decent, but vague on accessibility (median of 3: 6, 7, 6)
doorwide	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Open doors metaphor, warm and inclusive (median of 3: 7, 6, 6)
widedoor	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Open door metaphor, inclusive (median of 3: 7, 7, 6)
ramplight	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Odd compound, unclear (median of 3: 3, 4, 4)

NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
wayfinder	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Navigation metaphor, not accessibility-specific (median of 3: 6, 6, 6)
clearpass	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Suggests passing audits, positive but generic (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
fullreach	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Suggests reaching everyone, solid (median of 3: 7, 7, 6)
reachable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Simple, inclusive, slightly generic (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
everyuser	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Inclusive, clear, slightly generic (median of 3: 7, 7, 7)
tactilely	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 3/10: Odd adverb, off-topic feel (median of 3: 3, 4, 3)
truereach	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Direct reach, aligns with stance (median of 3: 7, 8, 7)
codefixer	keyword exact-match	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Literal, credible, but bland (median of 3: 6, 6, 7)
audittool	keyword exact-match	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Generic descriptor, no distinctiveness (median of 3: 3, 4, 4)
usecurbcut	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 6/10: Curb-cut metaphor, insider-friendly (median of 3: 6, 6, 6)
realaccess	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 8/10: Directly matches no-theater stance (median of 3: 8, 8, 8)
welcomemat	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: Warm, inclusive, memorable (median of 3: 7, 8, 6)
wcagtester	keyword exact-match	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Insider acronym, not a brand (median of 3: 4, 4, 5)
useoperable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 5/10: WCAG term, clunky as brand (median of 3: 5, 5, 5)
perceivable	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 7/10: WCAG term, credible to insiders, niche (median of 3: 7, 6, 7)

NAME	SOURCE	SCORE	.COM	BRAND-FIT NOTE
thresholdly	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Awkward adverb, unclear (median of 3: 3, 5, 4)
barrierless	given candidate	49.0	taken	strategist fit 8/10: Evokes removing barriers, clean and memorable (median of 3: 8, 8, 8)
sitemonitor	keyword exact-match	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Generic descriptor, not a brand (median of 3: 4, 5, 4)
accessibilityscanner	keyword exact-match	49.0	taken	strategist fit 4/10: Descriptive but generic, not a brand (median of 3: 4, 3, 5)

Sources: variation: 54 names, 12 with a free .com; registry suggestion service: 15 names, 15 with a free .com; our name generator: 5 names, 0 with a free .com.

Availability and prices



Availability is the registry's own answer per extension (RDAP, or the registry's WHOIS where it publishes no RDAP). DNS is never used.

EXTENSION	CHECKED	FREE	TAKEN	UNKNOWN
.com	74	27	47	0
.io	59	34	25	0
.co	59	39	20	0
.ai	59	31	28	0
.app	59	20	9	30
.net	59	29	30	0

Shortlist by extension

NAME	.COM	.IO	.CO	.AI	.APP	.NET
uselegible	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no match	available registry: no match	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no such domain
curbcutly	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no match	available registry: no match	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no such domain	available registry: no such domain
secureaccessibility	available registry: no such domain	not checked this extension was not checked for this name	not checked this extension was not checked for this name	not checked this extension was not checked for this name	not checked this extension was not checked for this name	not checked this extension was not checked for this name

Defensive registrations for the pick

Approximate typical retail for unregistered names, as the report states; not a quote.

DOMAIN	PRICE	WHY
uselegible.net	about \$14/yr	blocks look-alike use of the brand (cheap)

DOMAIN	PRICE	WHY
uselegible.app	about \$16/yr	blocks look-alike use of the brand (cheap)
uselegible.co	about \$30/yr	blocks look-alike use of the brand
uselegible.io	about \$45/yr	blocks look-alike use of the brand
uselegible.ai	about \$80/yr	blocks look-alike use of the brand

Strong names whose .com is taken: what the registry shows

DOMAIN	REGISTRAR	CREATED	EXPIRES	LISTING
curbcut.com	a registrar, Inc.	1999-12-20	2026-11-16	not listed where we can see
openramp.com	a registrar, LLC	2000-01-25	2028-05-31	parked, not listed
realaccess.com	a registrar, Inc.	1995-04-19	2027-04-20	in use, not listed
barrierless.com	a registrar, LLC	2020-05-31	2027-05-31	listed with price
equiscan.com	a registrar, LLC	1995-12-23	2027-05-13	in use, not listed
wideramp.com	a registrar, Inc.	2026-08-12	2027-08-12	not listed where we can see

Handles

Live per-platform checks at 2026-10-09 03:57 UTC. Where a platform could not be checked, the report says so and names what it needs, instead of guessing.

NAME	PLATFORM	STATUS	DETAIL
uselegible	github	available	api.github.com HTTP 404
uselegible	x	unknown	X API credits depleted (HTTP 402, pay-per-use billing)
uselegible	instagram	unknown	Meta token rejected (code 190, subcode 467): Error validating access token: The session is invalid because the user logged ou
uselegible	facebook	available	no Facebook Page uses this username (personal-profile usernames are not visible to apps)
uselegible	tiktok	available	TikTok: user not found (statusCode 10221)
uselegible	youtube	available	YouTube Data API: no channel with this @handle
uselegible	reddit	unknown	Reddit refuses unauthenticated API calls (HTTP 403)
uselegible	linkedin	available	LinkedIn company page HTTP 404
curbcutly	github	available	api.github.com HTTP 404
curbcutly	x	unknown	X API credits depleted (HTTP 402, pay-per-use billing)
curbcutly	instagram	unknown	Meta token rejected (code 190, subcode 467): Error validating access token: The session is invalid because the user logged ou
curbcutly	facebook	available	no Facebook Page uses this username (personal-profile usernames are not visible to apps)
curbcutly	tiktok	available	TikTok: user not found (statusCode 10221)
curbcutly	youtube	available	YouTube Data API: no channel with this @handle
curbcutly	reddit	unknown	Reddit refuses unauthenticated API calls (HTTP 403)
curbcutly	linkedin	available	LinkedIn company page HTTP 404
secureaccessibility	github	available	api.github.com HTTP 404
secureaccessibility	x	unknown	longer than X's 15-character handle limit; an exact @handle is impossible
secureaccessibility	instagram	unknown	Meta token rejected (code 190, subcode 467): Error validating access token: The session is invalid because the user logged ou
secureaccessibility	facebook	available	no Facebook Page uses this username (personal-profile usernames are not visible to apps)
secureaccessibility	tiktok	available	TikTok: user not found (statusCode 10221)

NAME	PLATFORM	STATUS	DETAIL
secureaccessibility	youtube	available	YouTube Data API: no channel with this @handle
secureaccessibility	reddit	unknown	Reddit refuses unauthenticated API calls (HTTP 403)
secureaccessibility	linkedin	available	LinkedIn company page HTTP 404

Could not be checked this run

- x: could not be checked this run (a paid API top-up was needed); reported as unknown, not guessed.
- instagram: could not be checked this run (a platform connection needed renewing); reported as unknown, not guessed.
- reddit: could not be checked this run (a platform connection needed renewing); reported as unknown, not guessed.

Trademark

Automated screening, not legal advice. Counsel must run a full USPTO search before filing.

Knockout search: **not run**. Not run: the fleet has no trademark search yet. The search tool is planned.py) searches patents only, and TSDR looks up by serial number, not by name. Distinctiveness below is a heuristic class per name; it is not a search result.

NAME	DISTINCTIVENESS	PROTECTABILITY	WHY	FILING PATH
uselegible	arbitrary	0.9	real words unrelated to the category: strong mark	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
curbcutly	arbitrary	0.9	real words unrelated to the category: strong mark	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
secureaccessibility	suggestive	0.8	hints at the category (accessibility) without describing it	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
includi	fanciful	1.0	coined word: the strongest kind of mark	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
accessly	suggestive	0.8	hints at the category (access) without describing it	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
equiscan	suggestive	0.8	hints at the category (scan) without describing it	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
ablemint	arbitrary	0.9	real words unrelated to the category: strong mark	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)
clearpath	arbitrary	0.9	real words unrelated to the category: strong mark	own mark: needs its own knockout search, clearance and filing (by the company)

Typical Nice classes for a SaaS product: 9 (downloadable software) and 42 (SaaS / hosted software); add 41 if it sells training or courses, 35 if it is a marketplace or advertising service. Use ™ on a product name now; use ® only once that mark is registered (the brand standard).

Diligence

Registry view of every domain the run touched: **180** unregistered, **159** registered, **30** unknown (the .app registry answered "unknown" for 30 names; the report keeps them as unknown).

Registered names the run found (first 12)

DOMAIN	REGISTRY DETAIL	REGISTRAR
inclusi.com	registered 2012-07-16	a registrar, LLC
accessly.com	registered 2011-07-03	a registrar, LLC
equiscan.com	registered 1995-12-23	a registrar, LLC
equiscan.io	registered 2025-10-14	a registrar, LLC
equiscan.ai	registered 2025-08-06	InternetX
equiscan.app	registered 2026-06-17	a registrar, Inc.
equiscan.net	registered 1997-04-09	a registrar, LLC
ablemint.com	registered 2014-08-29	a registrar, LLC
clearpath.com	registered 1996-02-28	a registrar
lintel.com	registered 1995-12-28	DomainSpot LLC
lintel.io	registered 2026-03-08	a registrar, Inc
lintel.co	registered 2014-08-29	Bluehost Inc.

Checker self-test before the report

The availability checker re-proves itself on known-registered and known-free domains before every report; a failed self-test blocks the recommendation. This run: 13 of 13 passed at 2026-10-09 03:55 UTC.

DOMAIN	EXPECTED	GOT	RESULT
google.com	taken	taken	☒
openai.com	taken	taken	☒
github.io	taken	taken	☒
google.ai	taken	taken	☒
google.co	taken	taken	☒
google.app	taken	taken	☒
google.net	taken	taken	☒
qzvbhardlodexq.com	available	available	☒
qzvbhardlodexq.io	available	available	☒
qzvbhardlodexq.co	available	available	☒


DOMAIN	EXPECTED	GOT	RESULT
qzvbhardlodexq.ai	available	available	☐ ok
qzvbhardlodexq.app	available	available	☐ ok
qzvbhardlodexq.net	available	available	☐ ok

Method and limits

Sources of candidate names: our name generator (our name generator); the registry's suggestion service suggestions on the idea's keywords; exact-match names from searched category terms; deterministic variations of the top pick (affixes, respellings, swaps, root synonyms).

AVAILABILITY	Registry RDAP per TLD (the .com registry for .com/.net, IANA bootstrap for .ai/.app/...); the registry's own port-43 WHOIS where it publishes no RDAP (.io, .co). DNS is never used. The checker re-proves itself on known-registered (google.com, openai.com, github.io...) and known-free domains before every report; a failed self-test blocks the recommendation.
KEYWORDS	search-volume data provider, US / English (monthly average)
HANDLES	Live per-platform checks; each result names its method. Official APIs with fleet credentials fetched at call time (GitHub, X, YouTube Data API, Facebook Graph app token, Instagram business discovery, Reddit OAuth) and public pages where no API answers (TikTok profile data, LinkedIn company page). Facebook sees Pages only, not personal profiles. 'unknown' always carries the reason and, when a credential or API tier is missing, the connect/top-up item (see connect_items).
TRADEMARK	Distinctiveness class per name (generic/descriptive/suggestive/arbitrary/fanciful) is a heuristic; no knockout search is run yet. Automated screening, not legal advice: counsel must run a full USPTO search before filing.
BRAND FIT	A cheap fleet model (temperature 0, fixed seed) rates each name 0-10 as a naming strategist would for this product: 3 samples in fixed name orders, median kept, cached per idea + name + prompt version + model. A name within 0.05 of a floor keeps its previous decision (hysteresis), so a re-run cannot flip the pick. Generator and registry suggestion service answers are replayed per idea, so a re-run judges the same pool. Stability: 3 samples, median, hysteresis 0.05.
PRICES	Approximate typical retail per TLD for unregistered names (no registrar pricing API, founder decision).
AFTERMARKET	For strong names whose .com is taken: registry and registrar RDAP (registrar, dates, registrant when not private, the registrar's contact-the-owner form), marketplace nameservers, the Afternic listing price (cross-checked against the page text) and one visit to the site. Keyless and \$0 per lookup, logged in var/aftermarket_spend.jsonl. Lookup only: nobody is contacted, and buying is the founder's decision.
COST AND TIME	Keyword research \$4.29; brand-fit judge \$0.0057 over 6 calls; whole run 130 s.

Score weights (sum 157)



CRITERION	WEIGHT
com available	30
brand fit	28
trademark web	12
say spell	12
other tlds	8
protectability	8
length	8
distinct ours	8
meaning fit	8
distinct competitors	7
memorability	6

CRITERION	WEIGHT
keyword value	6
spelling clarity	5
cliche	5
social handles	4
clean chars	2
price	0

Searched terms the names were judged against

TERM	MONTHLY	CPC	COMPETITION	GROUP
accessibility scanner	1,000	\$20.90	LOW	big method/category term
site monitor	1,000	\$26.46	MEDIUM	big method/category term
accessibility testing software	1,000	\$12.36	MEDIUM	big method/category term
code fixer	720	\$22.83	MEDIUM	small but commercial
audit tool	480	\$23.12	LOW	small but commercial
wcag tester	320	\$14.31	MEDIUM	small but commercial
white label reports	320	none	LOW	niche
wcag compliance tool	320	\$15.80	HIGH	small but commercial
ai code fixer	260	\$23.03	LOW	small but commercial
python code fixer	140	\$18.76	MEDIUM	small but commercial
code fixer python	140	\$18.76	MEDIUM	small but commercial
code fixer ai	110	\$27.97	MEDIUM	small but commercial
web accessibility scanner	70	\$24.08	HIGH	small but commercial
accessibility remediation tool	none	none	none	no measured volume
site accessibility monitor	none	none	none	no measured volume
white label accessibility reports	none	none	none	no measured volume

Limits the report states

- No trademark knockout search was run; distinctiveness is a heuristic.
- Prices for unregistered names are typical retail, not registrar quotes.
- 30 .app answers came back unknown and stayed unknown.
- Two social platforms could not be checked (credential or top-up needed) and are marked unknown.